

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/481,069	BAKMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CESAR B PAULA	2178	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ALLOWED

 (1) CESAR B PAULA.

(3) _____.

 (2) STAN TORGOVITSKY.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2004
Time: 9.00 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

114-165

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: MR. TORGOVITSKY INDICATED APPLICANTS' AUTHORIZATION TO CANCEL CLAIMS 114-165, WHICH DIFFER IN SCOPE FROM THE ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER FOUND IN INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 8, 18, 36, 39, 43, 49, 55, 59, 67, 78, 80, 86, 91, 94, 95, AND 97, AND REQUIRE FURTHER SEARCH AND/CONSIDERATION. THE CANCELED CLAIMS WOULD BE FILED AS A CONTINUATION IN ORDER TO EXPEDITE PROSECUTION OF THIS CASE. AUTHORIZATION WAS ALSO GRANTED TO AMEND ALL THE INDEPENDENT CLAIMS TO CORRECT LACK OF ANTECEDENT ISSUES.